ſ	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff,
6	DOCKET NO. 1:20-cr-183
7	
8	TY GERARD GARBIN,
9	Defendant. /
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT J. JONKER, CHIEF JUDGE
13	GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN
14	January 27, 2021
15	
16	Court Reporter: Glenda Trexler
17	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
18	685 Federal Building 110 Michigan Street, N.W.
19	Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
20	Proceedings reported by stenotype, transcript produced by
21	computer-aided transcription.
22	
23	
24	
25	
⊿ 5	

```
APPEARANCES:
1
2
     FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
3
          MR. NILS R. KESSLER
          UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
          330 Ionia Avenue, N.W.
4
          P.O. Box 208
          Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0208
5
          Phone: (616) 456-2404
6
          Email: Nils.Kessler@usdoj.gov
7
          MR. AUSTIN JACOB HAKES
          UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
          330 Ionia Avenue, N.W.
8
          P.O. Box 208
9
          Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0208
          Phone: (616) 456-2404
10
          Email: austin.hakes@usdoj.gov
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
11
12
          MR. MARK A. SATAWA
          SATAWA LAW, PLLC
          26777 Central Park Boulevard, Suite 300
13
          Southfield, Michigan 48076-4172
          Phone: (248) 356-8320
14
          Email: mark@satawalaw.com
15
          MR. GARY K. SPRINGSTEAD
          SPRINGSTEAD, BARTISH, BORGULA & LYNCH, PLLC
16
          28A West Main Street
17
          Fremont, Michigan 49412
          Phone: (231) 924-8700
          Email: gary@sbbllaw.com
18
          MS. NICOLE SPRINGSTEAD-STOLTE
19
          SPRINGSTEAD, BARTISH, BORGULA & LYNCH PLLC
          60 Monroe Center Street, N.W., Suite 500
20
          Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
          Phone: (616) 458-5500
21
          Email: nicole@sbbllaw.com
22
23
24
25
```

Grand Rapids, Michigan 1 January 27, 2021 2 11:01 a.m. 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 THE COURT: We're here on the case of the 5 6 United States against Ty Garbin, 1:20-cr-183, and we have an 7 anticipated change of plea. Let's start with appearances, please. 8 9 MR. KESSLER: Good morning, Your Honor, Nils Kessler and Austin Hakes for the United States. 10 THE COURT: All right. And for any participant 11 12 today, particularly if you're going to keep your mask on, which is fine, just stay seated and use the microphone right in front 13 of you. It will be much easier for us to hear you. Okay? 14 15 MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. For the defense? 17 MR. SATAWA: Good morning, Your Honor, if it please 18 this Court, Mark Satawa along with Gary Springstead and 19 20 Nicole Springstead on behalf of the defendant Ty Garbin. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 21 MR. SATAWA: Good morning. 22 THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome everybody. 23 At this point, Mr. Satawa, does your client intend to 24 go ahead under the Plea Agreement? 25

1 MR. SATAWA: He does, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. What I want to do, 2 Mr. Garbin, is make sure that you and I can communicate and 3 talk to each other. If you want to keep your mask on, that's 4 fine too, just make sure that microphone is close in front of 5 6 you. 7 Before we go to accepting a guilty plea, if that's what you want to do today, I'm going to have to put you under 8 9 I'm going to have questions for you that you'll need to 10 answer under oath subject to penalty of perjury if you want to go this route. 11 12 Do you have any questions about that before we start? THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Then we're going to have 14 15 Ms. Bourque swear you in and we'll get started. THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 16 TY GERARD GARBIN 17 (The oath was administered) 18 THE DEFENDANT: I swear. 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Garbin, how old are you? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I'm 25 years old, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: What city do you consider home? 22 THE DEFENDANT: I reside in Hartland, Michigan, and 23 grew up in Wyandotte, Michigan, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: All right. So east side of the state of

```
Michigan?
 1
 2
                               That's correct, Your Honor.
                THE DEFENDANT:
                THE COURT: Born and raised a Michigander.
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
                THE COURT: Where did you go to high school?
 5
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Wyandotte Roosevelt in Wyandotte,
 7
     Michigan.
                THE COURT: Graduate from there?
 8
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Any college or any education after high
10
     school?
11
12
                THE DEFENDANT: I attended a trade school for
     aviation maintenance in Canton, Michigan, called MIAT.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. And did you get a certificate,
14
15
     degree, or complete a program there?
                THE DEFENDANT: A certificate, Your Honor.
16
                THE COURT: In what?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: Aviation maintenance.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Today you're here with a number of
19
               Mr. Satawa is sitting right next to you and then
20
     lawyers.
     Mr. and Ms. Springstead are nearby. These are lawyers you've
21
     retained in the case; is that right?
22
23
                THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Have you been able to talk with one or
24
25
     all of them about this case and your decisions in the case?
```

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Do you feel like they have been able to
2
     give you good advice?
3
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
4
               THE COURT: Have they been able to answer all your
5
6
     questions?
7
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Do you need any more time to consult with
8
9
     them before you proceed today?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Do you feel like you've had time to think
11
12
     about your options and make a choice that you believe is best
     for you?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right. As you sit here this morning,
16
     Mr. Garbin, do you feel like you're in a frame of mind to make
     an important decision about your future?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Anything interfering with your ability to
19
20
     think clearly?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel like you're ready to
22
23
     proceed?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
24
25
               THE COURT: Mr. Satawa, do you have any concerns
```

about competence? 1 MR. SATAWA: I do not, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: For the government, Mr. Kessler? 3 MR. KESSLER: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: I don't either. From what I can see and 5 6 hear, Mr. Garbin is fully competent to proceed. He's alert, 7 focused, oriented in the way that he's presenting himself today. His answers to my questions are direct and responsive, 8 9 and I am satisfied that he's ready, willing, and able to make the decisions he needs to make. 10 Where I want to start, Mr. Garbin, is with the charge 11 12 that the government of the United States has made against you. It's in the Indictment. It's entitled "kidnapping conspiracy." 13 And the basic charge is that you and others were part of a 14 15 conspiracy to commit federal kidnapping with the intended victim being the governor of the state of Michigan. 16 Have you gone through that document with your 17 lawyers? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about it? 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Now, you've already entered a plea of not 22 quilty to this charge, of course, and you have the right to 23 maintain that plea of not quilty and to go to trial and see if 24 25 the government can prove this case or not.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Of course, if you go ahead with the Plea Agreement and at end of this hearing you plead guilty and I accept that, then there isn't going to be a trial in your case, you'll simply stand convicted based on your guilty plea today.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And at least subject to the discussion we're about to have, that's your intent as you start out this hearing, to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me just highlight for you the basic elements that the government would have to prove to a jury beyond reasonable doubt if you decide to go to trial, because that's what you're giving up today, and I want to make sure you understand in a basic way what the government would have to prove.

In any conspiracy the first and critical element that the government would have to prove is that there was an agreement or understanding of some kind involving at least two people. That's the heart of a conspiracy.

Second, the government would have to prove that the agreement or understanding was illegal in some way, and here

object, its purpose was the kidnapping of Governor Whitmer.

And then finally the government would have to prove that at least one member of the conspiracy did at least one thing concretely to make the purpose of the conspiracy come to fruition. We call that the overt act. Those are the things that the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you have questions about any of that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Garbin, if you went to trial and lost, that's one way to be convicted, or if today you plead guilty and I accept it, that's the other way to be convicted, in either case the Court is going to have to consider and evaluate penalty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the penalties in this case I want to review with you, and they include imprisonment for any term of years up to life in prison.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There's also something called supervised release that the Court has to consider. It's a period of time where you're not in prison, it's after imprisonment, but you're

back in the community living in the community on rules that the 1 2 Court sets, and if you break the rules that the Court sets, you can go to prison for that even if you don't commit a new crime 3 in the process. 4 Any questions about that? 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: You can be on supervision for as much as five years following imprisonment. 8 9 Do you understand that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor. THE COURT: There are a number of financial 11 12 consequences that I want to make sure you're aware of. Things that the Court would have to impose or consider. They include 13 a special assessment of \$100 that's automatically assessed on a 14 15 felony judgment. They include a fine. The fine is in the Court's discretion. It could be as little as zero, nothing, or 16 it could be as much as \$250,000. 17 Any questions about that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: There's also the possibility of restitution. The idea that if the criminal wrongdoing resulted 21 in concrete damage or harm to some other person, the person 22 could submit a request for restitution, there could be argument 23 about that, but you could be ultimately held accountable for 24

those damages or that amount of money the Court finds necessary

25

to compensate a victim. 1 2 Any questions about that? THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And then the last element of potential 4 penalty I want to make sure you're aware of is forfeiture. 5 6 Forfeiture is a part of the Court's judgment that requires a 7 person to give up the proceeds of crime or to give up property that was used to help commit the crime. And in your 8 9 Plea Agreement, which we'll talk about in more detail later, 10 there's a long list of things that the government says are subject to forfeiture and that you're agreeing to forfeit, 11 12 including a bunch of property that you own. Do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Any questions about that? THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: There's also -- unrelated to any 17 particular penalty that this Court imposes -- consequences that 18 the law simply imposes on its own accord, and I want to make 19 20 sure particularly on one thing that you realize this. go ahead with this, Mr. Garbin, you'll be a convicted felon 21 today after I accept the plea, and that will mean, among other 22 things, you can't lawfully possess a firearm again, period. 23 Do you understand that? 24 25 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I know firearms have been a focus and 1 2 interest of yours, but they won't be. You can't at least do that lawfully if you are convicted today by quilty plea ever 3 4 again. Do you understand that? 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. That's the penalty array, the things the Court has to consider and evaluate if you go ahead 8 9 and plead guilty today or if you go to trial and lose. But I 10 also want to spend a little time talking about how the Court goes from those wide penalty ranges to the actual penalty in 11 12 your case, because any term of years up to life is a big spread for anybody, especially for a 25-year-old, and you can 13 understand that you're facing serious risk here, right? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. The first step in deciding what 16 your actual sentence will be in federal court will involve 17 calculation of sentencing guidelines. 18 Have you been able to talk to your lawyers about 19 20 that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: And do you have any questions about that 22 for me today? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Nobody can guarantee you today,

Mr. Garbin, what your final guideline range will be because none of us know for sure. The best anybody can do is give you a reasonable assessment of a range of outcomes based on experience and what they know about a case. That's it. It's just an estimate, not a guarantee.

You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had those discussions with your lawyer so that they can give you their best judgment about the kind of ranges you're thinking about and facing?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me back up, then, and give you a little more general background about the way I'll approach the guidelines, and you make sure this is consistent with what you've heard from your lawyers. And if you have questions, this is the time to ask me. Because the guidelines require me to come up with two numbers, one called level of offense and one called criminal history category.

Are those topics you've had discussions with your lawyers about?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: When I'm looking at criminal history, I'm going to find out if you have a criminal record, and if you do, based on what you've been convicted of, when, and what the sentences were, there will be a score, and the score will

correspond to a category. Criminal history I is the lowest; criminal history VI is the highest.

Have you gone through that exercise with your lawyers?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.

It's designed to try to compare how serious the criminal activity in this case is when compared to all other federal criminal activity. So every federal crime, including conspiracy to commit a kidnapping, will have a base level or a starting point, and then based on the circumstances of the case, there will be some aggravating factors and some factors in your favor or mitigating factors. And I'll go through the manual, I'll apply the factors that I think fit your case, both increasing and decreasing, and then I'll do the math at the end of it to come up with one number, the level of offense.

Have you gone through that with your lawyers?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.

offense and the criminal history category, I'm going to use a one-page chart, the guideline chart, and where those numbers come together on the chart, that will be your guideline sentencing range. It will be in months of imprisonment with a low end and a high end.

Have you gone through that with your lawyers?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you think you understand the basic

3 mechanics of how that works?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about that for me?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: When I get the guideline range, that will simply be the starting point, not the ending point. We will from that guideline range look at a whole array of other factors. Sometimes we call them departures, and one of the potential departures here we'll discuss later could be a motion from the government to give you credit for substantial assistance. That will be something that the Court will have to consider if the government files a motion.

There might be other factors that we sometimes call Section 3553 factors because that's the statute they are part of. They have to do with you personally, good and bad, in your 25 years. What about you should the Court know and think about in making this sentencing decision.

And then they also include the general factors that go into any sentencing. What do I think is needed to educate the public, inform the public of what this kind of wrongdoing means. What do I think is needed to reflect the seriousness of what happened. What do I think is needed to convince you not

to get involved in anything like this again. Those kinds of 1 2 general sentencing purposes and the individual factors that have to do with you can all be a part of the Court's sentencing 3 decision to go higher or lower than the guideline range if I 4 think there's a good reason to do that. 5 6 Have you been able to talk to your lawyers about how factors like that could affect your sentence? 7 THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Pardon me? You have? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And do you feel like you 11 12 understand what's at stake for you in those factors, or do you have any questions for me about that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I understand them, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Before I go on to another topic, Mr. Garbin, do you have any questions for me at this 16 point about the penalty array that you face if you go ahead 17 with this or how the Court is going to approach sentencing? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Then let me turn to a different topic and get a little more detailed about the specifics of what you give 21 up today if you plead guilty and the specifics of what you 22 won't be able to get back by way of your rights if you plead 23

quilty today, because fundamentally, as we've already talked

about, if you plead guilty today, you give up the presumption

24

25

of innocence and all that goes with it, and right now that presumption protects you. It means that in the eyes of the law right now you're innocent of what the government has charged you with here, and you stay that way in the eyes of the law unless and until the government proves the case to a jury beyond reasonable doubt. If you plead guilty today and you give that up and all that goes with it, then you won't get it back in this case.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to get a little more specific, then, about how that presumption of innocence would play out during a trial if you decided to go that route instead of pleading guilty. Because during a trial there are a series of procedural protections and rules that would be in place to make sure the presumption of innocence is real and meaningful in your case. And all of these things you'll give up and won't get back, so it's worth going through them and cataloging them.

If you went to trial, which is your absolute right, you would be protected by that presumption of innocence, and we'd start the process by picking a jury of 12 people. They would be citizens of the Western District of Michigan, and that group would have the responsibility of deciding the case.

The government would have to prove the case, and only the government would have a burden of proof in the case. You

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would not. What the government would have to do is prove what it's charged you with and do so beyond a reasonable doubt. could not just rely on the words of lawyers. It would have to bring real evidence to court. That means people would come and testify under oath, subject to cross-examination by your lawyers, and any other evidence the government wanted to bring to use against you it would have to bring here and present the same way, publicly and in your presence. Ultimately using just that evidence, publicly presented in your presence, the government would have to convince every one of the 12 jurors, all of them, that you're guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and the jury would have to unanimously agree on that before you could be convicted and lose the presumption of innocence in the Today, though, if you plead guilty, you'll lose the presumption, you won't have the opportunity for that jury trial, you'll just be convicted based on your guilty plea today.

Do you have any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: At a trial, Mr. Garbin, as I already said, you would not have any burden of proof. You would not have to do or say anything to the jury, you would not have to present any evidence, you could just rest on the presumption of innocence if that's what you wanted to do. And if you did, I would instruct the jury that it cannot in any way hold it

against you in deciding whether the government proved its case.

You would not have to rest only on the presumption of innocence, though. If you wanted to at a trial, you could put on an active defense with your own evidence. You could testify for yourself if you wanted to. You could present other witnesses and use the power of this Court to get those witnesses here. You could present any other evidence that you and your lawyers think would help defend the charge. Once again, today if you go ahead with a guilty plea, you'll give up those options and those opportunities and you won't get them back in this case.

Do you have any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.

lawyers today, Mr. Garbin, and you can continue with them as long as you're all satisfied with the arrangement, but I want to make sure you understand that if you can't afford lawyers anymore -- because lawyers, good lawyers in particular, are not cheap -- if you can't afford lawyers but in your heart you really want to go to trial and the only thing that's pushing you to plead guilty is the fact that you think you can't afford to pay lawyers for a trial, then you can still file papers with the Court. The Court would, if you qualify, appoint somebody to represent you at government expense through a trial if that's what you really want to do.

```
1
               Do you have any questions about that?
               THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask if you have the
 3
     Plea Agreement in front of you.
 4
               Do you have that with you, Mr. Garbin?
 5
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. I want to look through that with
     you. We've certainly covered a fair bit of this already, but
 8
 9
     it's worth going through it in detail. But I do want to start
10
     on the last page, page 17. That's the signature page, and it
     looks like near the top of the page that's your signature:
11
12
     "Ty Garbin." Is that right?
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: And it's got yesterday's date. You
14
15
     signed it yesterday?
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: You had a chance to review it carefully
17
     before that?
18
19
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: There are a series of sentences above
     your name. They begin "I have read this agreement and
21
     carefully discussed every part of it with my attorney, " and
22
     there are several more. Did you read those sentences before
23
     you signed?
24
25
               THE DEFENDANT: I did, Your Honor.
```

1 THE COURT: Were they true when you signed? 2 They were true, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: Do you still want to go ahead with this 3 today? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Do you have any second thoughts about this? 7 THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Let me go through and touch on 10 each paragraph. I'm just going to spend time on the ones we haven't really focused on yet and anything else you have 11 12 questions on. So start with paragraph 1 which is simply the 13 paragraph that says you're going to plead guilty to the charge 14 15 in the Indictment. Paragraph 2 lays out the elements or things the 16 government would have to prove for winning a conviction. 17 And paragraph 3 lays out the penalty array. 18 Paragraph 4 emphasizes that restitution is a part of 19 20 the penalty array. 21 And 5 talks about asset forfeiture and accountability. It lists a number of properties that the 22 government says are subject to forfeiture and that you're 23 agreeing to forfeit. 24 25 And that carries us all the way over to paragraph 6

which I want to stop on a minute.

In those first five paragraphs do you have any questions?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. 6 is called "Factual basis of guilt." It goes on for a number of pages. And we're going to come back to it, but I need to skip beyond it now and pick up the next paragraph, 7, on page 10, which is "Cooperation in criminal investigations." And this paragraph says basically that you agree to help the government, help law enforcement achieve its goals by telling them what you know about criminal activity that you've been a part of or that you've seen other people be a part of.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: This might mean that you have to answer the questions of government lawyers or agents truthfully in a private setting somewhere, and you might already have done some of that, but this could also mean someday that you're called to a public courtroom like this, sworn to tell the truth, and then obligated to tell the truth about what you know in the public courtroom, even if it winds up hurting people you know.

You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you think you could do that if it

comes to that in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: I could, Your Honor.

paragraph 8 -- let me get back to that a minute -- has a series of promises from the government to you. The things you're getting in exchange for this. And the first one says the government agrees it won't bring any other charges against you. It lays out some examples of possible charges. Saying the only contingency here is that you have to give them what you know about that in advance. So you can't hold something back. If you do that, the government could bring charges about that.

Any questions about how that first paragraph works?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The 8B promise is a guideline promise.

Those sentencing guidelines include something called credit for acceptance of responsibility, and you could get up to three points off for that on the level of offense. And what the government is saying, based on what it knows now it won't get in your way of those three points.

Any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: 8C is called "Proffer protection." The basic point of paragraph C is to say the government is agreeing it won't use against you, Mr. Garbin, the things you tell them truthfully as long as you're truthful from beginning to end of

the process.

Do you have any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then 8D is something we touched on lightly earlier, the possibility of a sentence-reduction motion. The government here is saying if you provide substantial assistance, if you follow through and do that number 1, and number 2 if it really helps in some tangible way, then the government may choose to file a motion with the Court that says "Judge, please give Mr. Garbin credit at sentencing for the substantial assistance in the form of a lower sentence than the Court would otherwise impose." That's the basic point of paragraph 8D.

Do you have any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I want to highlight a couple things about it, Mr. Garbin, because it's not a promise that the government absolutely will file this motion, only that the government will evaluate your assistance and consider filing the motion.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If it does file the motion, if you convince the government, yes, it's been substantial assistance that's really helped, then it's still like any other motion, a request to the Court, and I would have to make the final

assessment on whether I think credit is appropriate and if so 1 2 how much. Any questions about that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. The next two paragraphs, 9 and 10, 5 6 really just talk about quidelines which we've already discussed 7 and emphasized. There's no agreement about the final quidelines. 8 9 Any questions about those paragraphs? THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Paragraph 11 is called "Waiver of 11 12 constitutional rights." It's a summary of the rights I talked to you about earlier that you give up and can't get back in 13 this case if you plead guilty. 14 15 Any questions about that? THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: 12 is something we haven't focused on 17 It's called "Limited waiver of appeal and collateral 18 attack." Normally, Mr. Garbin, you have the right to directly 19 20 appeal the decisions I make in this case including sentencing decisions. And normally even after you complete direct appeals 21 you'd have a right to come back to court in something we call a 22 habeas action or a 2255 action in federal court and say 23 "Something went so fundamentally wrong in my criminal case, I 24 need relief in this new case." That's normally the array of 25

rights you have to challenge the decisions I make here. 1 2 Do you have any questions about that? THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: What paragraph 12 is doing is saying 4 you're giving up a lot of those rights -- not all of them but a 5 6 lot of them -- and you're going to live with the decisions the 7 Court makes without the right to appeal or collaterally attack except for the categories that are specifically preserved in 8 9 paragraph 12B. 10 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: So what that means as a practical matter, Mr. Garbin, is if you want to challenge a decision I make in 13 the case down the road, either in a direct appeal or in a 14 15 habeas, you're going to have to fit the challenge into one of the six categories. The three at the bottom of page 14 or the 16 three at the top of page 15. 17 Do you understand that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: And do you feel like you've had time to understand what those categories mean and include? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Paragraph 13 and 14 are two 23 One is a FOIA request. FOIA stands for the Freedom 24 25 of Information Act and says here is a pathway for people to

find out what the government is doing. If you have rights under that to find out about this case, you're giving them up and are going to agree to find out about this case only through the normal process of criminal law and procedure. And then the Hyde waiver is something that says if the government is acting -- and the words are "vexatious," "frivolous," or "in bad faith" -- then a person in your position would have the opportunity to seek reimbursement of things like the lawyers' fees that you're paying, and you agree in that Hyde waiver that the government is not behaving that way in this case.

Any questions about those two waivers?

THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Paragraph 15 says the Court is not a party to this agreement, meaning that I didn't sign it and I won't the way your lawyers did, the government lawyer did, and the way you did. I'll make an independent assessment about whether I think it's a proper resolution of the case and a fair one and if so what the appropriate sentence is.

Questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. 16 says the agreement is limited to the parties. The added point here is that when Mr. Kessler signed this as an assistant United States attorney, he's signing it just for his office. That's the Western District of Michigan United States Attorney's Office. And that's the only

jurisdiction covered here. 1 2 Do you have any questions about that? THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 17 says "Consequences of breach." 4 What happens if you decide not to go ahead with this. And the 5 6 basic point of 17 is if you don't follow through on your 7 promises, the government isn't bound by its promises either. Any questions about that? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And then the last paragraph, 18, says "This is the complete agreement," meaning everything between 11 12 you and the government that's affecting your decision to plead guilty is here in this written agreement we've just gone 13 through and there are no side deals of any kind. 14 15 Any questions about that? THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Do you feel, Mr. Garbin, like anybody 17 from the government has made promises or commitments to you 18 outside of this Plea Agreement to get you to plead guilty? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you feel like anybody has made 21 promises or commitments to you like that, whether they are from 22 the government or someplace else? 23 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel like anybody has tried 25

```
1
     to pressure you or push you into this agreement against your
 2
     will?
                THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Do you believe you've had time to think
 4
     about your options and make a choice that's best for you?
 5
 6
               MR. SATAWA: Excuse me, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay.
           (Defense counsel conferring with defendant and the
 8
 9
     government's attorney)
               All right. Mr. Satawa and Mr. Kessler have had a
10
     chance to talk. Is there anything that needs to be clarified
11
12
     or amended?
               MR. KESSLER: No, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Mr. --
14
15
               MR. SATAWA: I'm just going to let my client know
     what we discussed, Your Honor.
16
17
                THE COURT: Fair enough.
           (Defense attorney conferring with defendant)
18
               MR. SATAWA: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20
               THE COURT: Anything else to clarify or amend?
21
               MR. SATAWA: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. What we were talking about just a
22
     moment ago, Mr. Garbin, was that complete agreement paragraph,
23
     no side deals, and then we had gone through a couple of
24
     questions about promises or commitments from anybody, whether
25
```

```
the government or someplace else outside of this agreement
 1
 2
     that's affecting your decision to plead. And I think you
     answered no there are no such promises or commitments.
 3
               Is that right?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: That's right, Your Honor.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel like anybody has tried
 7
     to pressure you or push you into this against your will?
               THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Do you believe you've had time to think
10
     about options and make a choice that you believe is best for
     you?
11
12
               THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Do you have any questions at all for me
13
     at this point?
14
15
               THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. From the defense, Mr. Satawa,
16
     is there anything else you would like me to explore before we
17
     formally take a plea?
18
               MR. SATAWA: There is not, Your Honor.
19
20
               THE COURT: All right. Or from the government?
               MR. KESSLER: No, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Then, Mr. Garbin, what I'm going
22
     to do is go back to that original document we looked at, the
23
     Indictment which charges you and others with being a part of a
24
     kidnapping conspiracy with a target and intended victim being
25
```

```
Governor Whitmer, and now I'm going to ask you formally how you
 1
 2
     plead, guilty or not guilty?
 3
                THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: That's a decision you make strictly of
 4
     your own free will today?
 5
 6
                THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: You understand if I accept it in a minute
     after we talk about the factual basis, you'll be judged quilty
 8
 9
     today of this federal felony?
                THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.
10
                THE COURT: The only thing we'll have left to do in
11
     your case is a sentencing at some later date.
12
               Do you recognize that?
13
                THE DEFENDANT: I recognize that, Your Honor.
14
15
                THE COURT: And at the sentencing the risk you face
     is a term of imprisonment for any number of years up to life.
16
               Do you understand that?
17
                THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor.
18
                THE COURT: And even if one of the other people here
19
20
     who is charged with you goes to trial and wins, you're still
     going to have to show up for that sentencing and face the
21
     consequences of this conviction.
22
               Do you understand that?
23
                THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, Your Honor.
24
25
               THE COURT: Do you feel ready to take that on today?
```

THE DEFENDANT: I feel ready, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I do believe that Mr. Garbin is tendering a plea that's proper in all respects. I think it's knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. I'm satisfied that he is fully apprised of the rights he has and is giving up in this process and that he's had a chance to weigh that against the pathways forward for him, one of which would be going to trial and assuming the risks that go with that as well as the opportunities, and I think he's had a chance to weigh that against the Plea Agreement and his decision to proceed under that, and I think the decision he's made is strictly of his own free will. I don't see any indication of threats, coercion, or other improper influence, nor do I see any indication of undisclosed promises or commitments. So I think the tender of the plea is proper.

The only remaining question is the factual basis.

And this isn't the time to get all the facts on the table,

Mr. Garbin, but it is the time to get enough so that I'm

satisfied there is a factual basis to support the plea. And

that takes me back to paragraph 6 which we skipped in your

Plea Agreement. It starts on page 4 and it really goes on a

long ways, all the way to the top of page 11, and it has a lot

of detailed factual statements.

Have you been able to go through all of those factual statements carefully?

```
THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.
 1
               THE COURT: And are you satisfied that all of them
 2
 3
     are true?
               THE DEFENDANT: I'm satisfied, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Do you have doubts or reservations about
 5
 6
     anything in there?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Could I speak to my attorney, please?
               THE COURT: Sure.
 8
 9
           (Defense attorney conferring with defendant)
               MR. SPRINGSTEAD: Your Honor, in reviewing the
10
     Plea Agreement --
11
12
               THE COURT: This is Mr. Springstead. Just go ahead
     and pull the microphone close. Thank you. Go ahead.
13
               MR. SPRINGSTEAD: In reviewing the Plea Agreement
14
15
     with Mr. Garbin, there was one minor correction that he wanted
     to make --
16
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. SPRINGSTEAD: -- to one of the factual assertions
18
     on page 7 and that was that the helmet wasn't bulletproof.
19
20
     was a helmet, but it wasn't a bulletproof helmet.
21
               THE COURT: Which subsection are you looking at on
22
     page 7?
23
               MR. SPRINGSTEAD:
                                 Κ.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. So it starts at
24
     the bottom of 6 and then comes over to 7?
25
```

1 MR. SPRINGSTEAD: Correct. THE COURT: Very good. And the correction is at the 2 top you're saying your understanding is Mr. Garbin would want 3 to correct that in what way? 4 MR. SPRINGSTEAD: That it wasn't a bulletproof helmet 5 6 and rather than being a night-vision scope they were binoculars. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So let me go to Mr. Garbin a 8 9 minute. Do you have that paragraph in front of you, 10 Mr. Garbin? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So the paragraph or the context of 13 the paragraph is something that happened back on August 23, 14 15 2020, at a meeting in Lake Orion, and the sentence in particular talks about Mr. Franks and something that he advised 16 the other people there including you, right? 17 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And originally the document here says 19 20 that what Mr. Franks indicated was that he spent money on a bulletproof helmet and a night-vision scope, but in fact what 21 he advised you is that he spent that money on a helmet and on 22 binoculars? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Night-vision binoculars, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Night-vision binoculars. Okay.

```
that correction, are you satisfied that everything in that
 1
 2
     paragraph 6 is true?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: I am, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to go through all of
 4
     it, but in a general way what I took from reading the lengthy
 5
 6
     paragraph is that at least by the start of the middle of
 7
     June 2020 all the way up to the date of your arrest in October
     there was a group of people -- more than one -- people who had
 8
 9
     an understanding or agreement to try to kidnap
10
     Governor Whitmer; is that right?
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
11
12
               THE COURT: Okay. And you were part of that; is that
     right?
13
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               That's correct, Your Honor.
14
15
               THE COURT: And at least one of the people involved
     took concrete action toward making that happen such as
16
     surveilling her house at night. Is that right?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: And you were part of that too?
19
20
               THE DEFENDANT: I was, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. You got involved of your own free
21
     will?
22
                               I did, Your Honor.
23
               THE DEFENDANT:
               THE COURT: Okay. Nobody forced you into it?
24
25
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
```

1 And you knew what you were doing? THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kessler, are you satisfied 3 with the factual basis for today? 4 MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Mr. Satawa? 7 MR. SATAWA: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. I am too. I think from what 8 9 Mr. Garbin has indicated here directly and what is detailed in the Plea Agreement there is a factual basis to support the 10 plea. I'm going to accept your plea of guilty, then, 11 12 Mr. Garbin. It means you're judged guilty of the offense today. You are going to face a sentencing on a later date as 13 we talked about, and at least before we leave today we'll have 14 15 Ms. Bourque give us a date for that. 16 Between now and that date there's going to be a probation officer who prepares a report called a 17 presentence report. It will go into greater detail about 18 what's happened here, about what happened in the case, about 19 20 you and your history. The report will include a guideline calculation and a sentencing recommendation. It eventually 21 comes to me. First, though, it goes to you and your lawyers. 22 Please go through it carefully. Make sure you're satisfied 23 that what you see there is accurate. If you see something that 24

needs a correction, say so. If you think something needs more

25

context, say that. If you think something is missing, say that. So the kinds of things that we talked about just a minute ago, you know, it wasn't a bulletproof helmet, for example, or it was night-vision binoculars not a night-vision scope, take the same kind of care with what you see in the presentence report so that when the document comes to me I can be sure it's accurate and reliable. And if there's something you just can't work out, you just don't agree with the government and the probation officer, you can bring issues like that to sentencing. I'll resolve the issues at sentencing.

So that's what's going to happen between now and a sentencing date. At that sentencing you'll have a chance to speak for yourself if you want to. The lawyers, of course, will speak. Victims are invited to come and testify or speak if they wish. They don't have to. And only after everybody who has a right to speak has been heard will the Court impose sentence at the hearing.

Do you have any questions about that process?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. One other thing I just want to touch on on factual basis. I think the facts are here, but from a federal point of view I did want to highlight or give Mr. Kessler a chance to highlight the interstate nexus which I think would be the essential element that gives the Court jurisdiction. So if you want to highlight the nexus point, I

```
think that would be worthwhile doing on the record.
1
2
               MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor. There are two primary
3
     things in this factual basis that would establish interstate
     nexus. One of which is that they used encrypted internet
4
     communications to communicate with each other which is covered
5
6
     here, and the other is that one of the conspirators traveled
7
     from one state to another. At least one did. Mr. Croft, as is
     mentioned in here, traveled from Delaware to meet with the
8
9
     conspirators in Wisconsin and in Michigan. And there's also a
     paragraph that indicates the defendant himself traveled to Ohio
10
     to meet with other militia members.
11
12
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
               Is there anything else from any party's perspective
13
     that we need to do today before we get the date from
14
15
     Ms. Bourque?
16
               MR. KESSLER: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Mr. Satawa?
17
               MR. SATAWA: No, Your Honor.
                                             Thank you.
18
               THE COURT: Can we leave the parties with a date,
19
20
     please, Ms. Bourque?
21
               THE CLERK: July 8 at 3:00.
               THE COURT: July 8 at 3:00. All right.
22
               Any questions, Mr. Garbin?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: No questions, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: All right. We'll see you all later in
25
```

```
1
     the year.
 2
                THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
           (Proceeding concluded at 11:42 a.m.)
 3
 4
                I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
 5
     from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 6
 7
                I further certify that the transcript fees and format
     comply with those prescribed by the court and the Judicial
 8
     Conference of the United States.
 9
10
11
     Date: March 8, 2022
12
                                  /s/ Glenda Trexler
13
                                  Glenda Trexler, CSR-1436, RPR, CRR
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```